



## Pupil premium strategy statement

1. Summary information					
<b>School</b>	Mousehold Infant and Nursery School				
<b>Academic Year</b>	2019/20	<b>Total PP budget</b>	£87,120 - £76,529 = £10,591	<b>Date of most recent PP Review</b>	July 2019
<b>Total number of pupils</b>	309	<b>Number of pupils eligible for PP</b>	67	<b>Date for next internal review of this strategy</b>	Jan 2020

2. Current attainment		
	<i>Pupils eligible for PP (your school)</i>	<i>Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)</i>
<b>% achieving in reading, writing and maths</b>	54%	65%
<b>% achieving in reading end of year 1</b>	77%	75%
<b>% achieving in writing end of year 1</b>	67%	70%
<b>% achieving in maths end of year 1</b>	77%	76%
<b>% achieving GLD end of Reception</b>	64.1%	72%

3. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)	
<b>In-school barriers</b> ( <i>issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills</i> )	
<b>A.</b>	Oral language skills in Reception are lower for pupils eligible for PP than for other pupils. This slows reading progress in subsequent years.
<b>B.</b>	The percentage of pupils who are eligible for PP reaching, expected and greater depth in writing is less than other pupils at the end of Key Stage 1
<b>C.</b>	Lack of life experiences outside the local area, mean that the gap in language acquisition and experiences continues to widen
<b>External barriers</b> ( <i>issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates</i> )	

<b>D.</b>	Attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP are 94.14% (2018/19). This reduces their school hours and causes them to fall behind on average.	
<b>E.</b>	Parental aspirations for PP children and their own mental health need impacts on school life	
<b>4. Desired outcomes</b>		
	<i>Desired outcomes and how they will be measured</i>	<i>Success criteria</i>
<b>A.</b>	Improve oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class.	Pupils eligible for PP in Reception class make rapid progress by the end of the year so that: Greater % of all pupils reach GLD Greater % of PP reach GLD
<b>B.</b>	The percentage of pupils who are eligible for PP reaching, expected and greater depth in writing is in line with other pupils at the end of Key Stage 1	Pupils eligible for PP make as much progress as 'other' pupils across Key Stage 1 in writing.
<b>C.</b>	Diminishing difference in achievement between PP and non PP pupils in all areas of the curriculum	The gap between pupils eligible for pp and non-pupil premium children is diminished. Greater % of PP pupils reach ARE by the end of the year
<b>D.</b>	Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Reduce the number of persistent absentees among pupils eligible for PP to 10% or below. Overall PP attendance improves from 94.14% to 96% in line with 'other' pupils.
<b>E.</b>	Targeted support for families via PSA and Benjamin Foundation	Engagement with relevant professionals leading to reduction of family related incidents/issues affecting the children's emotional and social well-being

5. Planned expenditure					
Academic year	2019/20				
The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.					
i. Quality of teaching for all					
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
A. Improve oral language skills for pupils eligible for PP in Reception class.	Early Talk boost Intervention Reception/ Nursery. Staff training on high quality delivery. Staff training on developing oracy for the high attaining pupils in EYFS and reception from EYFS/Reception SLE	We want to invest some of the PP in longer term change which will help all pupils. Many different evidence sources, e.g. EEF Toolkit suggest high quality intervention/feedback is an effective way to improve attainment, and it is suitable as an approach that we can embed across the school.	Systematic tracking of results on a termly basis  Interventions changed on a termly basis linked to need	SENCO & EYFS lead (SLE)	Dec 2019 Training and resources £1400
B. Improved progress for high attaining pupils	CPD on providing stretch for high attaining pupils.	High ability pupils eligible for PP are making less progress than other higher attaining pupils across Key Stage 1 in writing. We want to ensure that PP pupils can achieve high attainment as well as simply 'meeting expected standards'. We want to train a small number of relevant teachers in practices to provide stretch and encouragement for these pupils.	Method selected using evidence of effectiveness. Use staff meeting time to deliver training. Peer observation of attendees' classes after the meeting, to embed learning (no assessment).	Writing Strand lead & English lead	Dec 2019
<b>Total budgeted cost</b>					£ 1400
ii. Targeted support					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?

<p>A. Improved oral language skills in reception</p> <p>C. Diminishing difference in achievement between PP and non PP pupils in all areas of the curriculum</p>	<p>1:1 and small group provision of targeted intervention for children in Reception.</p>	<p>Some of the students need targeted support to catch up. See Ofsted 2013 report – highly trained support staff to deliver quality interventions</p>	<p>Organise timetable to ensure staff delivering provision have sufficient preparation and delivery time.</p>	<p>Reception class teachers</p>	<p>Dec 2019</p> <p>TA's £12,236</p> <p>(£16,164 - £3928 SEN Budget element)</p>
<p>B. The percentage of pupils who are eligible for PP reaching, expected and greater depth in writing is in line with other pupils at the end of Key Stage 1</p>	<p>Weekly small group sessions in writing for pp children with experienced teaching staff, in addition to standard lessons.</p>	<p>We want to provide extra support to increase % meeting expected and achieving higher attainment (greater depth). Small group interventions with highly qualified staff have been shown to be effective, as discussed in reliable evidence sources such as Visible Learning by John Hattie and the EEF Toolkit. We want to combine this additional provision with some 'aspiration' interventions such as 1:1</p>	<p>Extra teaching time and preparation time paid for out of PP budget.</p> <p>Impact overseen by writing co-ordinator. Teaching assistant (TA) CPD for TAs supporting the sessions.</p> <p>Engage with parents and pupils before intervention begins to address any concerns or</p>	<p>Pupil Premium Coordinator</p>	<p>Dec 2019</p> <p>Y1 - TA's £12,236 (£16,164 - £3928 SEN Budget element)</p> <p>Y2 – TA £7178 (£9142 - £1964 SEN Budget element)</p>
<p>C. Diminishing difference in achievement between PP and non PP pupils in all areas of the curriculum</p>	<p>1:1 and small group provision of targeted intervention for EAL /PP children from a qualified teacher</p>	<p>Many different evidence sources, e.g. EEF Toolkit suggest high quality intervention/feedback is an effective way to improve attainment. Children joining us part way through an academic year with EAL will feel supported and settled and be confident members of the class and school. Children with EAL who are with us from the start of their school journey will achieve in line with their peers. A focus of this work will be developing and improving phonics knowledge in all pupils with EAL</p>	<p>Systematic tracking of results on a termly basis</p> <p>Interventions changed on a termly basis linked to need</p>	<p>Pupil Premium Coordinator</p>	<p>Quality Teacher £9936</p>
<b>Total budgeted cost</b>					<p>£41,586</p>
<b>iii. Other approaches</b>					
<b>Desired outcome</b>	<b>Chosen action/approach</b>	<b>What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?</b>	<b>How will you ensure it is implemented well?</b>	<b>Staff lead</b>	<b>When will you review</b>

					<b>implementation?</b>
C. Diminishing difference in achievement between PP and non PP pupils in all areas of the curriculum	Nurture Group Lunchtime	Nurture Group activities will help develop resilience, social skills and confidence The provision of Nurture Groups (NGs) has been recognised as an effective early intervention for children with social, emotional and behavioural difficulties (SEBD). 'The high expectations of teachers in Nurture Groups can bring about amazing change' in the lives of young emotionally disturbed children (Lucas, 1999, p.14).	Boxall Profile data will show the improved confidence and social skills.	SENCO	Dec 2019  2 TAs: 1 hour a day of TA time cost: £589
C. Diminishing difference in achievement between PP and non PP pupils in all areas of the curriculum	Use of PP funding to enrich the curriculum for PP children  For pupils entitled to PP funding to have equal access of opportunity to trips, visits and clubs  After school clubs targetted at PP pupils	See Ofsted 2013 report – The full range of educational experiences – support is given to ensure that all pupils have full access to broad educational experiences, such as school trips, music lessons, subsidised uniform, free milk etc	Monitor spending on a termly basis  Systematic tracking of other area results on a termly basis PP provision mapping carried out termly  All pupils have accessed all visits. Pupils have had free access to breakfast club. Families have had support with uniform.	Pupil Premium Coordinator	Visiting Artist- Yr 2 £250  School trips paid for £460  After school clubs £3440  Support with uniforms and book bags £500  FSM milk £1095  Samba for Y2 £2534  Ukulele for Y1 £2534  Forest School for YR £2600

D. Increased attendance rates for pupils eligible for PP.	Role of the school secretary to monitor pupils and follow up quickly on absences. First day response provision.	We can't improve attainment for children if they aren't actually attending school. NfER briefing for school leaders identifies addressing attendance as a key step. DfE report on attendance and attainment showed strong link between attendance and attainment. Pupils with 100% attendance 4.7 times more likely to achieve than those with 85%  Funding for attendance awards on a termly and annual basis.	Thorough briefing by school secretary about existing absence issues. PP coordinator, school secretary, head etc. will collaborate to ensure new provision and standard school processes work smoothly together.	Pupil Premium Coordinator	Dec 2019
E. Targeted support for families via PSA and Benjamin Foundation	PSA to deliver 1-1 support for targeted pupils and families  Benjamin Foundation	Engagement with relevant professionals leading to reduction of family related incidents/issues affecting the children's emotional and social well-being  Benjamin Foundation: The children who have taken part in these sessions will show increased confidence in talking about their feelings and any concerns about the child will be dealt with promptly by school staff	The number of PP families the PSA has supported and prevented further involvement from Children's Services and other agencies. This information is variable and available on request.	Head Teacher	PSA £16,019  Benjamin Foundation £3522 (£3990 - £468 SEN Budget element)
<b>Total budgeted cost</b>					£33,543
<b>Overall Total budgeted cost</b>					£76,529